



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Thomas R. Hull, et al.

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR VISUAL REPRESENTATION AND MANIPULATION OF PAGE FEATURES ON A PRODUCTION PRINTER

Serial No. 09/684,126

Filed 06 October 2000

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Sir:

Group Art Unit: 2179

Examiner: Nhon D. Nguyen

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited today with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

X P P

June 30, 2005

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Final Rejection dated May 10, 2005.

## Paragraph 4 of the Office Action:

Claims 56, 59, 62, 65, 68, 70 and 71 are rejected as being obvious over Livingston in view of Keyworth et al. The rejection is based on the Examiner's opinion that it would have been obvious to modify Livingston's system in view of the teachings of Keyworth et al. The rejection is respectfully traversed for the reasons set forth immediately below.

According to the Examiner, "Livingston does not disclose [that] the GUI displays more than one page representation simultaneously." The Examiner notes that the suggested combination would provide the features missing from the basic reference, and concludes that such combination would have been obvious. This conclusion is respectfully traversed.